Summary Analysis

May 27, 2011

Summary: Seattle, Washington; Solid Waste/Resource Recovery

Primary Credit Analyst: Edward R McGlade, New York (1) 212-438-2061; edward_mcglade@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact: Adam Torres, New York (1) 212-438-2481; adam_torres@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

Summary: Seattle, Washington; Solid Waste/Resource Recovery

Credit Profile		
US\$47.075 mil Solid Waste rev bnds ser 2011 due 08/01/2036		
Long Term Rating	AA/Stable	New
Seattle Solid Wste rev & Rfgd bnds ser 2007		
Long Term Rating	AA/Stable	Affirmed
Seattle solid waste		
Unenhanced Rating	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.		

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services has assigned its 'AA' long-term rating to Seattle, Wash.'s solid waste revenue bonds series 2011. The outlook is stable. In addition, Standard & Poor's affirmed its 'AA' long-term rating on the city's previously issued solid waste revenue bonds. Credit factors include:

- A very deep and diverse economic base that consistently endures business cycles;
- A strong revenue collection mechanism as solid waste service charges appears on the water and sewer bills;
- The continued strength of the system's financial performance, supported by conservative financial policies; and
- Affordable rates indicating capacity for future increases to accommodate rising costs.

Net revenues of the solid waste enterprise secure the bonds.

The solid waste system is one of three utilities, along with the water, drainage, and wastewater utilities (both rated 'AA+'), for which the city collects a single bill. The system serves the city of Seattle (rated 'AAA'), which has a population of more than 602,000. The city is the largest in the Pacific Northwest region and is the center of a metropolitan area that is home to an estimated four million. The region is a leading center of transportation, with the ports of Tacoma and Seattle, as well as nearby Vancouver B.C.. Technology and manufacturing remain important sources of jobs, with Boeing Co. and Microsoft Corp. the leading employers. Per capita incomes are above average at 40%, better than the national median; unemployment was 9.0% in 2010.

The city council sets rates. The system is responsible for residential and commercial collection, and primary facilities are two transfer stations, South Park and Wallingford. From these transfer stations, waste is hauled to a landfill in Oregon. There are more than 150,000 residential customer accounts, accounting for 48% of revenues, and a typical monthly bill will be \$34.75 in 2011. Rates are set according to financial policies. These financial policies include a 1.7x debt service coverage target, the goal of generating net income each year, and maintaining cash equal to 20 days' contracted expenses and financing a minimum of \$2.5 million (in 2003 dollars) of capital expenses with cash reserves each year. Based on 2009 results for the fiscal year ended Dec. 31, net available revenue of \$21.8 million would provide good 2.03x coverage of annual debt service. Rates increased 12% in fiscal 2010 and 6.3% in fiscal

2011 to offset the increases in waste disposal and collection costs. Coverage in fiscal 2010 was more than 5x, primarily due to reduced debt service requirements and the effects of rate increases of 27% and 12% in 2009 and 2010, respectively. We expect coverage levels for 2011 and 2012 to be strong, based on projected financial statement released by management, at 3.76x and 3.03x, respectively.

Liquidity levels are low as unrestricted cash and investments accounted for 27 days' cash on hand in fiscal 2010, but the system has more than \$11 million in restricted cash, which provides an additional 30 days' cash on hand. In addition, the system has access to the city's pooled cash reserves, which total more than \$1.3 billion.

The city has contracts with Waste Management Inc. and Cleanscapes for residential and commercial pickup. Effectively, these contracts assure that waste generated inside city limits will be disposed of at city facilities, and, therefore, the city does not have to worry about competing waste facilities, which would limit rate-setting flexibility. These contracts expire March 31, 2019. Contracted expenses are the leading expense of the system, more than 55% of total 2009 expenses.

Currently, the system plans to issue an additional \$98 million in debt by 2015 to fund the projects related to replacing the two existing transfer stations. Once completed, the two relatively new transfer stations would meet the system's needs well into the future, thereby limiting future debt issues.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that financial targets will continue being met. It also reflects our expectation that management will continue to raise rates as needed to continue meeting these financial policies. Given the strength of the revenue stream collection mechanics, it is unlikely that the rating will be lowered in the near future. However, after the issuance of potentially \$98 million in parity debt by 2015 and more clarity regarding future costs as the current contracts expire by 2019, an upgrade could be warranted.

Related Criteria And Research

USPF Criteria: Solid Waste System Financings, June 15, 2007

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect on the Global Credit Portal at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2013 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

McGRAW-HILL